

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

|   |                | TO DUTNITOR           | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|----------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| APPLICATION NO.                                   | FILING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR  | 6136,200-US             | 1104             |
| 09/675,950  | 09/29/2000     | Jorgen Topp Jorgensen | 0130.200-03             | •                |
|   | 590 07/28/2003 | EXAMINER              |                         |                  |
| NOVOZYMES NORTH AMERICA, INC.<br>500 FIFTH AVENUE |                |                       | WITZ, JEAN C            |                  |
| SUITE 1600<br>NEW YORK,                           | NY 10110       |                       | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   |                |                       | 1651                    | 2                |
|   |                |                       | DATE MAILED: 07/28/2000 | $\sim 0$         |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |  |
|--|---|--|--|
|  | 09/675,950  | JORGENSEN ET   | AL.  |
| Advisory Action  | Examiner  | Art Unit   |  |
| •  | Jean C. Witz  | 1651   |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication a   | nnears on the cover sheet v   | vith the correspondence ad   | dress  |
| FAILS TO PLACE THIS A rerefore, further action by the applicant is required to all rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either indition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Approximation (RCF) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114  | PPLICATION IN CONDITI o avoid abandonment of thi (1) a timely filed amendm peal (with appeal fee); or (3  | on FOR ALLOWANCE. s application. A proper relent which places the applic a timely filed Request for  | oly to a   |
| The period for reply expires $\underline{5}$ months from the mailing   | g date of the final rejection.  |  | whichever is later. Ir   |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of no event, however, will the statutory period for reply exponents of the control o | which later than SIX MONTHS from WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MON.  The date on which the petition useriod of extension and the correspate of the shortened statutory perities of the statutory perities are 37 CFR 1.704(b). | nder 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the all onding amount of the fee. The a od for reply originally set in the fifter the mailing date of the final r | opropriate extension<br>ppropriate extension<br>nal Office action; or<br>ejection, even if |
| A Notice of Appeal was filed on 30 June 2003.  37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (3)   | 0,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,   | ismissal of the appeal.  |  |
| The proposed amendment(s) will not be enter  | the appoideration and/o   | r search (see NOTE below   | v);  |
| (a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require   | TUTTINET CONSIDERATION and/o  | . 556. 5 \5  |  |
|  |   |  |  |
| <ul> <li>(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see it)</li> <li>(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or</li> </ul>  | ation in better form for appe   | ar by materials  | oime   |
| issues for appeal; and/or  (d)  they present additional claims without c   | anceling a corresponding n  | umber of finally rejected ci   | airris.  |
| NOTE:  |   |  |  |
| a Calleria   | rejection(s):   | L'rata timaly f  | iled amendment   |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s)  | would be allowable it subm  | itted in a separate, timely  | NOT place the  |
| 5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ requ  |   |  |  |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be consider   | ed because it is not directed   | SOLELY to issues which   | were newly   |
| <ul> <li>raised by the Examiner in the final rejection</li> <li>7. ☒ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amer explanation of how the new or amended class</li> </ul>  | Line t/a\ a\l   Lwill not be e  | ntered or b)⊠ will be ente<br>ovided below or appended   | red and an   |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as for  | ollows:   |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed:  |   |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to:  |   |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8, 11, 14-15, 17-28</u> .  |   |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |   | h)☐ disapproved by the E   | Examiner.  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration  | is a) approved or   | oner No(c)   | ~ ^  |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure S  10. Other:  | statement(s)( PTO-1449) Pa  | aper (NO(s).   |  |
|  |   | Jean C. Witz<br>Primary Exa<br>Art Unit: 165   | miner<br>1   |
| U.S. Patent and Trademark Office   | Advisory Action   | Part of Pap  | er No. 20  |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01)

· Application/Control Number: 09/675,950

Art Unit: 1651

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed June 30, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive for the reasons set forth below.

Applicants argue that the Examiner has implied that the term "enzyme concentrate" is synonymous with the term "fermentation broth comprising an enzyme and a biomass." Applicants assert that it is well known in the art that the term "enzyme concentrate" "is a highly purified enzyme composition that has been treated to remove biomass." Applicants point to the specification at page 3, lines 10-18 as defining the term "enzyme concentrate" as "a fermentation filtrate which has been processed to increase the concentration of the enzyme." First, the Examiner respectfully disagrees with the argued meaning of the term as requiring the quality of being "highly purified" when referring to "enzyme concentrate". Second, it was not the term "enzyme concentrate" to which the Examiner was referring when asserting, in the previous office action, page 3, that "the term encompasses a biomass and a concentrated enzyme." The Examiner was referring to the term "fermentation broth", not "enzyme concentrate." Finally, it remains unclear why this is even at issue since the term "enzyme concentrate" is not present in the claim language.

Applicants assert that "As best [sic], Liddell et al. in view of Neubeck only suggests to the artisan to substitute a concentrated enzyme of Neubeck for whole microorganisms of Liddell et al or the whole microorganism of Liddell et al. for the concentrated enzyme of Neubeck." However, Applicants have not addressed the fact

Application/Control Number: 09/675,950

Art Unit: 1651

that Liddell et al. teaches that a biomass may be spray dried for the purpose of preserving the enzymes and the benefit of the cells and Neubeck et al. teaches that the concentrated enzymes obtained from the fermentation broth may be spray dried for the purpose of preserving the enzymes for further use. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to either leave in the cells in the fermentation broth prior to spray drying in order to obtain the benefit of the cells as taught by Liddell et al. or in the alternative, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to leave in the enzyme with the biomass before spray drying the composition of Liddell et al. in order to increase the enzyme activity of the Liddell et al. composition.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jean C. Witz whose telephone number is (703) 308-3073. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. M-Th and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Wityshyn can be reached on (703) 308-4743. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9307 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

· Application/Control Number: 09/675,950

Art Unit: 1651

Jean C. Witz Primary Examiner Art Unit 1651

Page 4

July 24, 2003